FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Date Received:
Received By:

DECISION OF THE APPEALS PANEL

Case Number ___________

Petitioner Appealing Decision of Judiciary Committee is ______________________________________

Section J. APPEAL PANEL MEMBERS		
NAME		
MAILING ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	POSTAL CODE
NATION		
HOME PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE	
MOBILE PHONE	EMAIL ADDRESS	
MINISTRY POSITION (IF ANY)		
NAME		
MAILING ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	POSTAL CODE
NATION		
HOME PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE	
MOBILE PHONE	EMAIL ADDRESS	
MINISTRY POSITION (IF ANY)		
NAME		
MAILING ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	POSTAL CODE
NATION		
HOME PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE	
MOBILE PHONE	EMAIL ADDRESS	
MINISTRY POSITION (IF ANY)		
PETITIONER'S STATED REASON FOR REQUESTING APPEAL OF JUDICIARY COMMITTEE DECISION:		
PROCEDURAL ERROR (STATE):		
BIAS ERROR BY	(NAME OFFICIAL)	

FINDINGS REGARDING PROCEDURAL ERROR:		
DID A PROCEDURAL ERROR IN THE PROCESS OCCUR DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS PETITIONER'S DISCIPLINARY PROCESS?	**___YES**	**___NO**
IF YES, WHAT WAS THE ERROR?		
IF NO, DENY APPEAL (NO FURTHER ACTION NEEDED ON THIS FORM).		
IF ERROR IDENTIFIED, IS THIS PROCEDURAL ERROR MERELY A TECHNICAL ERROR OR DOES THIS PROCEDURAL ERROR RISE TO THE LEVEL OF AFFECTING THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCESS?		
_____ TECHNICAL	____AFFECTED THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCESS	
IF OUTCOME WAS AFFECTED, HOW DID IT AFFECT THE PETITIONER'S PROCESS OUTCOME?		
(IF ONLY GROUNDS FOR PETITIONER'S APPEAL IS PROCEDURAL ERROR, SKIP TO BOTTOM OF FORM VOTE/SIGNATURE SECTION)		

FINDINGS REGARDING BIAS ERROR:			
WAS THE CHALLENGE RAISED ACCORDING TO THE PROCESS IN A TIMELY MANNER?			
A. WAS A REQUEST TO OFLD MADE TO DISQUALIFY THE OFFICIAL WITHIN TWO DAYS OF THE DATE PETITIONER WAS NOTIFIED THAT THE NAMED OFFICIAL WAS APPOINTED (FOR APPOINTING JUDICIAL OFFICER, INVESTIGATOR OR JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEMBERS)?	____YES	____ NO	____ N/A
DO AT LEAST 2 MEMBERS OF THE APPEALS PANEL AGREE WITH THIS FINDING?		____ YES	____ NO
B. WERE OTHER BIAS CLAIMS MADE BY PETITIONER TO DISQUALIFY OTHER APPOINTEES IN THIS PROCESS?		____ YES	____ NO
IF YES, BRIEFLY PROVIDE DETAILS/DECISIONS/ACTIONS:			
DO AT LEAST 2 MEMBERS OF THE APPEALS PANEL AGREE WITH THIS FINDING?		____ YES	____ NO
C. WAS THE RESPONSE FROM OFLD TO RETAIN THE APPOINTEE COMPLETED AND NOTIFICATION MADE TO PETITIONER WITHIN THREE (3) DAYS?		____ YES	____ NO
IF NO, DID THE DELAY IN RESPONSE AFFECT THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCESS?		____ YES	____ NO
DO AT LEAST 2 MEMBERS OF THE APPEALS PANEL AGREE WITH THIS FINDING?		____ YES	____ NO
D. WAS A REPORT OF BIAS (WITH SPECIFIC EVIDENCE CITED) MADE WITHIN TWO DAYS OF THE DATE THE BIAS BECAME KNOWN OR REASONABLY SHOULD HAVE BEEN KNOWN BY A PETITIONER EXERCISING DUE DILIGENCE? (DATES NEEDED)		____ YES	____ NO
IF YES, AFTER REVIEWING ALL DOCUMENTATION, DO YOU FIND THAT THE ALLEGED BIAS CAUSED A DIFFERENT OUTCOME TO THE PROCESS THAN WOULD HAVE HAPPENED IF THE ALLEGED BIAS DID NOT HAPPEN?		____ YES	____ NO
DO AT LEAST 2 MEMBERS OF THE APPEALS PANEL AGREE WITH THIS FINDING?		____ YES	____ NO

COMPLETE VOTING AND SIGNATURE SECTION BELOW. RETURN FORM IMMEDIATELY TO THE JUDICIAL OFFICER HANDLING THIS MATTER (PERSON WHO APPOINTED YOU).

SIGNATURES OF APPEAL PANEL MEMBERS:

_____ _____ _____

PRINT NAME: PRINT NAME: PRINT NAME:

****

Judicial Officer Post-Decision Action

___ Notify Person with Concern, Ministry Leader, Moderator, and Director OFLD of determination of the Appeals Panel within 3 business days of receiving it.

OFLD Action

___ If decision of Judicial Committee is removal of clergy credentials, Director OFLD shall submit entire package to Council of Elders. Their determination is due within 15 days of receiving the case documents.